## HOW DOES THE CORE SIT INSIDE THE MANTLE?

## KATHRIN SKUBCH

ABSTRACT. The "giant component" has remained a guiding theme in the theory of random graphs ever since the seminal paper of Erdős and Rényi [Magayar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato Int. Kozl. **5** (1960) 17–61]. Because for any  $k \ge 3$  the *k*-core, defined as the (unique) maximal subgraph of minimum degree *k*, is identical to the largest *k*-connected subgraph of the random graph w.h.p., the *k*-core is perhaps the most natural generalisation of the "giant component". Pittel, Wormald and Spencer were the first to determine the precise threshold  $d_k$  beyond which the *k*-core  $\mathscr{C}_k(\mathbf{G})$  of  $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(n, d/n)$  with d > 0 fixed is non-empty w.h.p. [Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **67** (1996) 111–151]. Specifically, for any  $k \ge 3$  there is a function  $\psi_k : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  such that for any  $d \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{d_k\}$  the sequence  $(n^{-1}|\mathscr{C}_k(\mathbf{G})|)_n$  converges to  $\psi_k(d)$  in probability.

The aim of the present paper is to enhance the branching process perspective of the *k*-core problem pointed out in their paper. More specifically, we are concerned with the following question. Fix  $k \ge 3$ ,  $d > d_k$  and let s > 0 be an integer. Generate a random graph G and mark each vertex according to  $\sigma_{k,G} : V(G) \to \{0,1\}, v \mapsto 1 \{v \in \mathcal{C}_k(G)\}$ . For a vertex v let  $G_v$  denote its component. Now, pick a vertex v uniformly at random and let  $\partial^s[G_v, v, \sigma_{k,G_v}]$  denote the isomorphism class of the finite rooted  $\{0,1\}$ -marked graph obtained by deleting all vertices at distance greater than s from v from  $G_v$ . Our aim is to determine the distribution of  $\partial^s[G_v, v, \sigma_{k,G_v}]$ .

To accomodate the non-trivial correlations between the *k*-core and the "mantle" (i.e., the vertices outside the core) we introduce a Galton-Watson process  $\hat{T}(d, k, p)$  that possess five vertex types, denoted by 000, 001, 010, 110, 111. Setting  $q = q(d, k, p) = \mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{Po}(dp) = k - 1 | \operatorname{Po}(dp) \ge k - 1\right]$ , we let  $p_{000} = 1 - p$ ,  $p_{010} = pq$ ,  $p_{110} = p(1 - q)$ . The process starts with a single vertex, whose type is chosen from {000,010,111} according to the distribution  $(p_{000}, p_{010}, p_{111})$ . Subsequently, each vertex of type  $z_1 z_2 z_3$  spawns a random number of vertices of each type. The offspring distributions are defined by the generating functions  $g_{z_1 z_2 z_2}(\mathbf{x})$  detailed in Figure 1, where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_{000}, x_{001}, x_{010}, x_{110}, x_{111})$  and  $\bar{q} = \bar{q}(d, k, p) = \mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{Po}(dp) = k - 2 |\operatorname{Po}(dp) \le k - 2\right]$ . Let T(d, k, p) signify the random rooted {0, 1}-marked tree obtained by giving mark 0 to all vertices of type 000, 001 or 010, and mark 1 to all others.

$$g_{000}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{000}) \frac{\sum_{h=0}^{k-2} (dp)^h (qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110})^h / h!}{\sum_{h=0}^{k-2} (dp)^h / h!},$$

$$g_{001}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{q} \left( \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \left( qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110} \right)^{k-2} \right) + (1-\bar{q}) \left( \exp(d(1-p)x_{000}) \frac{\sum_{h=0}^{k-3} (dp)^h (qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110})^h / h!}{\sum_{h=0}^{k-3} (dp)^h / h!} \right)$$

$$g_{010}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \left( qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110} \right)^{k-1},$$

$$g_{110}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \frac{\sum_{h\geq k} (dpx_{111})^h / h!}{\sum_{h\geq k} (dp)^h / h!},$$

$$g_{111}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \frac{\sum_{h\geq k-1} (dpx_{111})^h / h!}{\sum_{h\geq k-1} (dp)^h / h!}.$$

FIGURE 1. The generating functions  $g_{z_1 z_2 z_3}(\mathbf{x})$ .

**Theorem.** Assume that  $k \ge 3$  and  $d > d_k$ . Let  $s \ge 0$  be an integer and let  $\tau$  be a rooted  $\{0, 1\}$ -marked tree. Moreover, let  $p^*$  be the largest fixed point of  $\phi_{d,k} : [0,1] \to [0,1], p \mapsto \mathbb{P}\left[\operatorname{Po}(dp) \ge k-1\right]$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V(\boldsymbol{G})}\mathbf{1}\left\{\partial^{s}[\boldsymbol{G},v,\sigma_{k,\boldsymbol{G}_{v}}]=\partial^{s}[\tau]\right\} \text{ converges to } \mathbb{P}\left[\partial^{s}[\boldsymbol{T}(d,k,p^{*})]=\partial^{s}[\tau]\right] \text{ in probability.}$$

This is joint work with Amin Coja-Oghlan, Oliver Cooley and Mihyun Kang.