HOW DOES THE CORE SIT INSIDE THE MANTLE?

KATHRIN SKUBCH

ABSTRACT. The"giant component" has remained a guiding theme in the theory of random graphs ever since the seminal paper of Erdős and Rényi [Magayar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato Int. Kozl. **5** (1960) 17–61]. Because for any $k \geq 3$ the *k*-core, defined as the (unique) maximal subgraph of minimum degree *k*, is identical to the largest *k*connected subgraph of the random graph w.h.p., the *k*-core is perhaps the most natural generalisation of the "giant component". Pittel, Wormald and Spencer were the first to determine the precise threshold d_k beyond which the *k*-core $\mathcal{C}_k(G)$ of $G = G(n, d/n)$ with $d > 0$ fixed is non-empty w.h.p. [Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B 67 (1996) 111–151]. Specifically, for any $k \ge 3$ there is a function $\psi_k : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ such that for any $d \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{d_k\}$ the sequence $(n^{-1}|\mathscr{C}_k(G)|)_n$ converges to $\psi_k(d)$ in probability.

The aim of the present paper is to enhance the branching process perspective of the *k*-core problem pointed out in their paper. More specifically, we are concerned with the following question. Fix $k \geq 3$, $d > d_k$ and let $s > 0$ be an integer. Generate a random graph *G* and mark each vertex according to $\sigma_{k,G}: V(G) \to \{0,1\}, v \mapsto 1\{v \in \mathcal{C}_k(G)\}$. For a vertex v let G_v denote its component. Now, pick a vertex v uniformly at random and let $\partial^s[G_v,v,\sigma_{k,G_v}]$ denote the isomorphism class of the finite rooted {0, 1}-marked graph obtained by deleting all vertices at distance greater than *s* from *v* from G_v . Our aim is to determine the distribution of $\partial^s [G_v, v, \sigma_{k,G_v}]$.

To accomodate the non-trivial correlations between the *k*-core and the "mantle" (i.e., the vertices outside the core) we introduce a Galton-Watson process $\hat{T}(d, k, p)$ that posseses five vertex types, denoted by 000, 001, 010, 110, 111. Setting $q = q(d, k, p) = \mathbb{P}\left[\text{Po}(dp) = k - 1 | \text{Po}(dp) \ge k - 1\right]$, we let $p_{000} = 1 - p$, $p_{010} = pq$, $p_{110} = p(1 - q)$. The process starts with a single vertex, whose type is chosen from {000, 010, 111} according to the distribution (*p*000,*p*010,*p*111). Subsequently, each vertex of type *z*1*z*2*z*³ spawns a random number of vertices of each type. The offspring distributions are defined by the generating functions $g_{z_1z_2z_2}(x)$ detailed in Figure 1, where $x =$ $(x_{000}, x_{001}, x_{010}, x_{110}, x_{111})$ and $\bar{q} = \bar{q}(d, k, p) = \mathbb{P} [\text{Po}(dp) = k - 2 | \text{Po}(dp) \le k - 2]$. Let $T(d, k, p)$ signify the random rooted {0, 1}-marked tree obtained by giving mark 0 to all vertices of type 000, 001 or 010, and mark 1 to all others.

$$
g_{000}(x) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{000}) \frac{\sum_{h=0}^{k-2} (dp)^h (qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110})^h/h!}{\sum_{h=0}^{k-2} (dp)^h/h!},
$$

\n
$$
g_{001}(x) = \bar{q} \left(\exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \left(qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110} \right)^{k-2} \right)
$$

\n
$$
+ (1-\bar{q}) \left(\exp(d(1-p)x_{000}) \frac{\sum_{h=0}^{k-3} (dp)^h (qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110})^h/h!}{\sum_{h=0}^{k-3} (dp)^h/h!} \right)
$$

\n
$$
g_{010}(x) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \left(qx_{010} + (1-q)x_{110} \right)^{k-1},
$$

\n
$$
g_{110}(x) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \frac{\sum_{h \ge k} (dpx_{111})^h/h!}{\sum_{h \ge k-1} (dpx_{111})^h/h!},
$$

\n
$$
g_{111}(x) = \exp(d(1-p)x_{001}) \frac{\sum_{h \ge k-1} (dpx_{111})^h/h!}{\sum_{h \ge k-1} (dp)^h/h!}.
$$

,

FIGURE 1. The generating functions $g_{z_1z_2z_3}(\mathbf{x})$.

Theorem. *Assume that* $k \geq 3$ *and* $d > d_k$ *. Let* $s \geq 0$ *be an integer and let* τ *be a rooted* {0,1}*-marked tree. Moreover, let* p^* *be the largest fixed point of* $\phi_{d,k}$: [0, 1] → [0, 1], $p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ $[\overline{Po}(dp) \ge k-1]$. *Then*

$$
\frac{1}{n}\sum_{v\in V(\boldsymbol{G})} \mathbf{1}\left\{\partial^s[\boldsymbol{G}, v, \sigma_{k,\boldsymbol{G}_v}] = \partial^s[\tau]\right\} converges to \mathbb{P}\left[\partial^s[\boldsymbol{T}(d, k, p^*)] = \partial^s[\tau]\right] \text{ in probability.}
$$

This is joint work with Amin Coja-Oghlan, Oliver Cooley and Mihyun Kang.